

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING I	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,624	10/09/2001		Lee J. Rosen	3047-7434	6243
21888	7590	06/05/2006		EXAMINER	
THOMPSO	N COBURN,	LLP		MAI, NGO	CLAN THI
ONE US BAI	NK PLAZA				
SUITE 3500				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST LOUIS, N	4O 63101			1742	

DATE MAILED: 06/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/973,624	ROSEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ngoclan T. Mai	1742					
The MAILING DATE of this communicated Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuf - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wil Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO I, by statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 10 March 2006.						
, ,)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition fo	 allowance except for formal mat 	ters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I). 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 2-28,55-70 and 80-113 is/are	pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 2-27,55-70,83-87 and 94-100	is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 28,80-82,88-93 and 101-113	Claim(s) <u>28,80-82,88-93 and 101-113</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted or b) dojected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	ne correction is required if the drawing	y(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	•				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to be	y the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority do		Amplication No.					
2. Certified copies of the priority do3. Copies of the certified copies of							
application from the Internationa		received in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action i	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.					
Attach mont(c)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4\ \ Intendow	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC)-948) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/7/06</u>. 	TO/SB/08) 5)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/973,624 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/10/06 has been entered.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 28 80-82, 88-93, 101-113 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation "wherein said tightly agglomerated compositions have an average size in a range of about 20 nanometers to about 6000 nanometers" recited in claim 28 introduces new matter because there is no support for such limitation in the specification at the page cited by the applicant in the remark.

Other claims are rejected for depended on the rejected base claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolf et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,238,456).

Art Unit: 1742

Wolf et al disclosed a tantalum agglomerate powder having specific surface area ranging from 1.4 to 3 m2/g, a metal contaminant such as sodium of less than 50 ppm, a primary grain diameter of 100 to 400 nm and secondary particle sizes of the primary particles having an average size of above 5 microns. See claim 1.

The difference between the claim and that of Wolf et al. is that the claim recites primary particle size of 1 to 100 nm and agglomerated size of about 20 to 6000 nanometer (0.02 to 6 microns). Since Wolf et al teach the powder with primary particles and secondary particles whose sizes range overlap that recited by the claims, it have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select any portion of range, including the claimed range, from the broader range disclosed in the reference because the reference finds that primary particles and secondary particles whose sizes in the entire disclosed range has a suitable utility. --- Note: Even if a reference teaches a preferred range within a broader range, it still does not "teach away" from the claimed invention. See MPEP 2123.

Claims 2-27,55-70,83-87 and 94-100 are allowable.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ngoclan T. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-1246. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 PM Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/973,624 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ngocan T. Mai Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742